

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address & MMISSI ONEIC OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DOC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 641,795	08 18 2000	James M. Zavislan	ML-0459C	6913
750	00 01/18/2002			
Kenneth J LuKacher			EXAMINER	
South Winton Court Suite 304			РНАМ, НОА Q	
3136 Winton Road South Rochester, NY 14623			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
		DATE MAILED: 01-18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/641,795	ZAVISLAN, JAMES M.				
, maricory riolici.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoa Q. Pham	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shorteness of the control of the co	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1.	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	vithin the period set forth in of the appeal.				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note)		(366 140 12 Below),				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-42</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2877				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations "imaging plane inside the medium" in claims 24, 34, 39 and "outside the imaged section....on gaposite sides of said section in the direction of propagation of the beams..." in claims 30, 35, and 39 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.